

# **NATIONAL FAMILY HEALTH SURVEY (NFHS-2)**

**INDIA**

**1998–99**

**MAHARASHTRA**

**International Institute for Population Sciences  
Mumbai, India**

**MEASURE *DHS*+, ORC MACRO  
Calverton, Maryland, USA**

**January 2002**

**Suggested citation: International Institute for  
Population Sciences (IIPS) and ORC Macro. 2001.  
*National Family Health Survey (NFHS-2), India,  
1998–99: Maharashtra. Mumbai: IIPS.***

**For additional information about the National Family Health Survey (NFHS-2), please contact:**

**International Institute for Population Sciences  
Govandi Station Road, Deonar, Mumbai-400 088  
Telephone: 5564883, 5563254, 5563255, 5563256  
Fax: 5563257  
E-mail: [iipsnfhs@vsnl.com](mailto:iipsnfhs@vsnl.com)  
Website: <http://www.nfhsindia.org>**

**NFHS-2 data sets for this state can be obtained from the website listed above.**

## **CONTRIBUTORS**

**Sumati Kulkarni**

**Vinod Mishra**

**Sulabha Parasuraman**

**Sanjeevane Mulay**

**Madhuree Talwalkar**

**Rajeshri Chitanand**

# CONTENTS

	Page
Tables .....	v
Figures.....	ix
Preface.....	xi
Acknowledgements.....	xiii
Fact Sheet.....	xvi
Summary of Findings.....	xvii

## CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1	Background of the Survey.....	1
1.2	Basic Socioeconomic and Demographic Features of Maharashtra.....	1
1.3	Questionnaires.....	3
1.4	Survey Design and Sample Implementation.....	5
	Sample Size and Reporting Domains .....	5
	Sample Design .....	5
	Sample Selection in Rural Areas .....	6
	Sample Selection in Urban Areas .....	8
	Urban Areas Excluding Mumbai .....	8
	Mumbai.....	8
	Sample Weights .....	9
	Sample Implementation .....	10
1.5	Recruitment, Training, and Fieldwork.....	12
1.6	Data Processing.....	12

## CHAPTER 2 BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS OF HOUSEHOLDS

2.1	Age-Sex Distribution of the Household Population .....	13
2.2	Marital Status.....	16
2.3	Household Composition .....	18
2.4	Educational Level .....	20
2.5	Housing Characteristics .....	26
2.6	Lifestyle Indicators .....	32
2.7	Availability of Facilities and Services to the Rural Population.....	35

## CHAPTER 3 BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS OF RESPONDENTS

3.1	Background Characteristics .....	37
3.2	Educational Level .....	41
3.3	Age at First Marriage.....	42
3.4	Exposure to Mass Media.....	44
3.5	Women's Employment .....	46
3.6	Women's Autonomy.....	48
3.7	Women's Educational Aspirations for Children.....	53
3.8	Domestic Violence: Attitudes and Prevalence.....	54

**CHAPTER 4 FERTILITY AND FERTILITY PREFERENCES**

4.1	Age at First Cohabitation .....	63
4.2	Current Fertility Levels .....	65
4.3	Fertility Differentials and Trends.....	67
4.4	Children Ever Born and Living.....	72
4.5	Birth Order .....	74
4.6	Birth Intervals .....	76
4.7	Age at First and Last Birth.....	76
4.8	Postpartum Amenorrhoea, Abstinence, Insusceptibility, and Menopause .....	79
4.9	Desire for More Children.....	82
4.10	Ideal Number of Children .....	87
4.11	Sex Preference for Children.....	89
4.12	Fertility Planning .....	91

**CHAPTER 5 FAMILY PLANNING**

5.1	Knowledge of Family Planning Methods .....	95
5.2	Contraceptive Use.....	97
	Ever Use of Family Planning Methods.....	97
	Current Use of Family Planning Methods .....	98
	Socioeconomic Differentials in Current Use of Family Planning Methods.....	101
	Number of Living Children at First Use of Contraception.....	104
	Problems with Current Method.....	104
5.3	Timing of Sterilization.....	106
5.4	Sources of Contraceptive Methods.....	107
5.5	Reasons for Discontinuation/Non-Use of Contraception .....	110
5.6	Future Intentions Regarding Contraceptive Use.....	112
5.7	Exposure to Family Planning Messages .....	117
5.8	Discussion of Family Planning.....	119
5.9	Need for Family Planning.....	121

**CHAPTER 6 MORTALITY, MORBIDITY, AND IMMUNIZATION**

6.1	Crude Death Rates and Age-Specific Death Rates .....	126
6.2	Infant and Child Mortality .....	127
	Assessment of Data Quality.....	127
	Levels, Trends, and Differentials in Infant and Child Mortality .....	129
	Socioeconomic Differentials in Infant and Child Mortality .....	131
	Demographic Differentials in Infant and Child Mortality .....	132
6.3	Morbidity .....	135
	Asthma .....	137
	Tuberculosis.....	137
	Jaundice.....	137
	Malaria .....	138

	Page
6.4	Child Immunization .....138
6.5	Vitamin A Supplementation .....148
6.6	Child Morbidity and Treatment .....148
	Acute Respiratory Infection.....148
	Fever .....152
	Diarrhoea.....152
6.7	HIV/AIDS .....158
	Knowledge of AIDS .....159
	Source of Knowledge About AIDS .....159
	Knowledge of Ways to Avoid AIDS .....162
6.8	Lead Levels Among children.....165

## **CHAPTER 7 NUTRITION AND THE PREVALENCE OF ANAEMIA**

7.1	Women’s Food Consumption .....169
7.2	Nutritional Status of Women .....170
7.3	Anaemia Among Women .....173
7.4	Infant Feeding Practices.....177
7.5	Nutritional Status of Children.....183
7.6	Anaemia Among Children .....188
7.7	Iodization of Salt.....190

## **CHAPTER 8 MATERNAL AND REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH**

8.1	Antenatal Problems and Care.....194
	Problems During Pregnancy .....195
	Antenatal Check-Ups .....196
	Reasons for Not Receiving Antenatal Check-Ups.....198
	Number and Timing of Antenatal Check-Ups .....199
	Components of Antenatal Check-Ups .....201
	Tetanus Toxoid Vaccination .....202
	Iron and Folic Acid Supplementation .....205
8.2	Delivery Care .....206
	Place of Delivery.....206
	Assistance During Delivery .....208
	Delivery Characteristics.....210
8.3	Postnatal Care .....211
	Postpartum Complications .....214
8.4	Reproductive Health Problems .....215

## **CHAPTER 9 QUALITY OF CARE**

9.1	Source of Health Care for Households .....221
9.2	Contacts at Home with Health and Family Planning Workers .....223
9.3	Quality of Home Visits .....223
9.4	Matters Discussed During Home Visits or Visits to Health Facilities.....226

	Page
9.5 Quality of Services Received at the Most Recent Visit to a Health Facility .....	227
9.6 Family Planning Information and Advice Received.....	229
9.7 Availability of Pills and Condoms.....	229
9.8 Person Motivating Users of a Modern Contraceptive Method .....	230
9.9 Quality of Care of Family Planning Services .....	232
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>235</b>
 <b>APPENDICES</b>	
Appendix A Estimates of Sampling Errors.....	245
Appendix B Data Quality Tables.....	255
Appendix C Maharashtra NFHS-2 Staff.....	263
Appendix D Survey Instruments.....	269

## TABLES

	Page
Table 1.1	7
Table 1.2	11
Table 2.1	14
Table 2.2	15
Table 2.3	16
Table 2.4	18
Table 2.5	19
Table 2.6	21
Table 2.7	24
Table 2.8	26
Table 2.9	27
Table 2.10	30
Table 2.11	31
Table 2.12	33
Table 2.13	35
Table 2.14	36
Table 3.1	38
Table 3.2	41
Table 3.3	43
Table 3.4	45
Table 3.5	47
Table 3.6	49
Table 3.7	52
Table 3.8	53
Table 3.9	55
Table 3.10	58
Table 3.11	60
Table 4.1	64
Table 4.2	66
Table 4.3	68
Table 4.4	71



	Page
Table 4.5	Fertility by marital duration.....72
Table 4.6	Children ever born and living .....73
Table 4.7	Birth order.....75
Table 4.8	Birth interval.....77
Table 4.9	Median age at first birth.....78
Table 4.10	Age at last birth.....79
Table 4.11	Postpartum amenorrhoea, abstinence, and insusceptibility .....80
Table 4.12	Menopause.....81
Table 4.13	Fertility preferences .....83
Table 4.14	Desire to have no more children by background characteristics .....86
Table 4.15	Ideal and actual number of children .....87
Table 4.16	Ideal number of children by background characteristics .....88
Table 4.17	Indicators of sex preference.....90
Table 4.18	Fertility planning.....92
Table 4.19	Wanted fertility rates .....93
Table 5.1	Knowledge of contraceptive methods.....96
Table 5.2	Ever use of contraception .....97
Table 5.3	Current use of contraception.....99
Table 5.4	Current use by background characteristics .....102
Table 5.5	Number of living children at first use.....105
Table 5.6	Problems with current method.....106
Table 5.7	Timing of sterilization .....107
Table 5.8	Source of modern contraceptive methods.....109
Table 5.9	Reasons for discontinuation/non-use.....111
Table 5.10	Future use of contraception.....113
Table 5.11	Reasons for not intending to use contraception.....114
Table 5.12	Preferred method.....116
Table 5.13	Exposure to family planning messages.....118
Table 5.14	Discussion of family planning.....120
Table 5.15	Need for family planning services.....122
Table 6.1	Age-specific death rates and crude death rates.....126
Table 6.2	Infant and child mortality.....130

	Page
Table 6.3	Infant and child mortality by background characteristics.....132
Table 6.4	Infant and child mortality by demographic characteristics.....133
Table 6.5	Morbidity .....136
Table 6.6	Childhood vaccinations by source of information.....140
Table 6.7	Childhood vaccinations by background characteristics.....142
Table 6.8	Childhood vaccinations received by 12 months of age .....145
Table 6.9	Source of childhood vaccinations .....147
Table 6.10	Vitamin A supplementation for children .....149
Table 6.11	Prevalence of acute respiratory infection, fever, and diarrhoea .....150
Table 6.12	Knowledge of diarrhoea care .....154
Table 6.13	Treatment of diarrhoea.....155
Table 6.14	Source of ORS packets .....158
Table 6.15	Source of knowledge about AIDS .....160
Table 6.16	Knowledge about avoidance of AIDS .....163
Table 6.17	Lead levels among children .....167
Table 7.1	Women’s food consumption.....170
Table 7.2	Women’s food consumption by background characteristics .....171
Table 7.3	Nutritional status of women.....172
Table 7.4	Anaemia among women .....175
Table 7.5	Initiation of breastfeeding.....179
Table 7.6	Breastfeeding status by child’s age.....180
Table 7.7	Type of food received by children.....181
Table 7.8	Median duration of breastfeeding .....183
Table 7.9	Nutritional status of children by demographic characteristics.....185
Table 7.10	Nutritional status of children by background characteristics.....187
Table 7.11	Anaemia among children .....189
Table 7.12	Iodization of salt .....191
Table 8.1	Health problems during pregnancy.....195
Table 8.2	Antenatal check-ups.....197
Table 8.3	Reason for not receiving an antenatal check-up .....199
Table 8.4	Number and timing of antenatal check-ups and stage of pregnancy .....200
Table 8.5	Components of antenatal check-ups .....202

	Page
Table 8.6	Tetanus toxoid vaccination and iron and folic acid tablets or syrup .....204
Table 8.7	Place of delivery .....207
Table 8.8	Assistance during delivery.....208
Table 8.9	Characteristics of births .....211
Table 8.10	Postpartum check-ups .....212
Table 8.11	Symptoms of postpartum complications.....214
Table 8.12	Symptoms of reproductive health problems .....216
Table 8.13	Treatment of reproductive health problems.....219
Table 9.1	Source of health care.....222
Table 9.2	Home visits by a health or family planning worker.....224
Table 9.3	Quality of home visits.....225
Table 9.4	Matters discussed during contacts with a health or family planning worker .....226
Table 9.5	Quality of care during the most recent visit to a health facility.....228
Table 9.6	Family planning discussions with a health or family planning worker .....229
Table 9.7	Availability of regular supply of condoms/pills .....230
Table 9.8	Motivation to use family planning.....231
Table 9.9	Discussions about alternative methods of family planning .....232
Table 9.10	Information on side effects and follow-up for current method.....233

## **Appendix A**

Table A.1	List of selected variables for sampling errors, Maharashtra, 1999 .....247
Table A.2	Sampling errors, Maharashtra, 1999 .....248

## **Appendix B**

Table B.1	Household age distribution .....256
Table B.2	Age distribution of eligible and interviewed women.....257
Table B.3	Completeness of reporting .....257
Table B.4	Births by calendar year.....258
Table B.5	Reporting of age at death in days.....259
Table B.6	Reporting of age at death in months .....260

## FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2.1	Population Pyramid .....14
Figure 2.2	School Attendance by Age, Sex, and Residence .....25
Figure 3.1	Percentage of Women Participating in Different Household Decisions and Percentage with Access to Money .....50
Figure 4.1	Age-Specific Fertility Rates by Residence .....66
Figure 4.2	Age-Specific Fertility Rates, NFHS-1 and NFHS-2 .....67
Figure 4.3	Total Fertility Rate by Selected Background Characteristics .....69
Figure 4.4	Fertility Preferences Among Currently Married Women .....82
Figure 5.1	Current Use of Family Planning by Residence, NFHS-1 and NFHS-2 ....100
Figure 5.2	Sources of Family Planning Among Current Users of Modern Contraceptive Methods .....108
Figure 6.1	Infant Mortality Rates for Five-Year Periods by Residence .....130
Figure 6.2	Infant Mortality Rates by Selected Demographic Characteristics .....134
Figure 6.3	Percentage of Children Age 12–23 Months Who Have Received Specific Vaccinations .....141
Figure 6.4	Percentage of Children Age 12–23 Months Who Have Received All Vaccinations .....144
Figure 6.5	Source of Childhood Vaccinations by Residence .....146
Figure 7.1	Anaemia Among Women .....176
Figure 7.2	Stunting Among Children Under Three Years by Mother’s Education and SLI .....186
Figure 7.3	Anaemia Among Children .....190
Figure 8.1	Problems During Pregnancy .....196
Figure 8.2	Source of Antenatal Check-Ups During Pregnancy .....198
Figure 8.3	Number and Timing of Antenatal Check-Ups .....201
Figure 8.4	Place of Delivery and Assistance During Delivery .....210
Figure 8.5	Reproductive Health Problems Among Currently Married Women .....218
Figure 9.1	Home Visit by a Health or Family Planning Worker by Selected Background Characteristics .....225
Figure 9.2	Motivator for Current Users of Modern Contraceptive Methods .....230

## PREFACE

The success of the first National Family Health Survey conducted in 1992–93, in creating an important demographic and health database in India has paved the way for repeating the survey. The second National Family Health Survey (NFHS-2), undertaken in 1998–99, is designed to strengthen the database further and facilitate implementation and monitoring of population and health programmes in the country. As in the earlier survey, the principal objective of NFHS-2 is to provide state and national estimates of fertility, the practice of family planning, infant and child mortality, maternal and child health, and the utilization of health services provided to mothers and children. In addition, the survey provides indicators of the quality of health and family welfare services, women's reproductive health problems, and domestic violence, and includes information on the status of women, education, and the standard of living.

Another feature of NFHS-2 is measurement of the nutritional status of women. Height and weight measurements, which were available only for young children in the earlier survey, were extended to cover all eligible women in NFHS-2. In addition, ever-married women and their children below age three had their blood tested for the level of haemoglobin, using the HemoCue instrument. Through these blood tests, for the first time the survey provides information on the prevalence of anaemia throughout India. In two metropolitan cities, Delhi and Mumbai, a further test was done for children below age three to measure the lead content in their blood. The survey also measured the extent to which households in India use cooking salt that has been fortified with iodine.

The NFHS-2 survey was funded by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) through ORC Macro, USA. UNICEF provided additional financial support for the nutritional components of the survey. The survey is the outcome of the collaborative efforts of many organizations. The International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS) was designated as the nodal agency for this project by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India, New Delhi. Thirteen reputed field organizations (FOs) in India, including five Population Research Centres, were selected to carry out the houselisting operation and data collection for NFHS-2. The field organization for Maharashtra was the Centre for Operations Research and Training (CORT), Vadodara. ORC Macro, Calverton, Maryland, USA, and the East-West Center, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA, provided technical assistance for all survey operations.

The NFHS-2 survey covered a representative sample of more than 90,000 eligible women age 15–49 from 26 states that comprise more than 99 percent of India's population. The data collection was carried out in two phases, starting in November 1998 and March 1999. The survey provides state-level estimates of demographic and health parameters as well as data on various socioeconomic and programmatic factors that are critical for bringing about desired changes in India's demographic and health situation. The survey provides urban and rural estimates for most states, regional estimates for five states (Bihar, Jammu and Kashmir, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, and Uttar Pradesh), separate estimates for three metro cities (Chennai, Kolkata, and Mumbai), and estimates for slum areas in Mumbai.

The survey used uniform questionnaires, sample designs, and field procedures to facilitate comparability of the data and to achieve a high level of data quality. Preliminary reports

with selected results were prepared earlier for each state and presented to policymakers and programme administrators responsible for improving health and family welfare programmes in the states.

The final state reports are based on a standard tabulation plan developed at a workshop held in Kodaikanal on 15–17 January 1999. IIPS finalized the tabulation plan according to the recommendations of the NFHS-2 Technical Advisory Committee and produced the tables and figures for the final reports. In most states, the final state reports were written by representatives of the Population Research Centres, faculty members from IIPS, representatives from ORC Macro and the East-West Center, and reputed researchers from other organizations in the field of population and health in India. Each report has been reviewed by an Indian expert in the field of population sciences.

We are happy to present the final NFHS-2 state report for Maharashtra, which was covered in the second phase of the survey. We hope that the report will provide helpful insights into the changes that are taking place in the state and will provide policymakers and programme managers with up-to-date estimates of indicators that can be used for effective management of health and family welfare programmes, with an emphasis on reproductive health dimensions. The report should also contribute to the knowledge of researchers and analysts in the fields of population, health, and nutrition.

T.K. Roy  
Director  
International Institute for  
Population Sciences  
Mumbai

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The second National Family Health Survey was successfully completed due to the efforts and involvement of numerous organizations and individuals at different stages of the survey. We would like to thank everyone who was involved in the survey and made it a success.

First of all, we are grateful to the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India, New Delhi, for its overall guidance and support during the project. Mr. Y.N. Chaturvedi and Mr. K.S. Sugathan, the then Secretary and Joint Secretary, respectively, at the Department of Family Welfare deserve special thanks. They initiated the project and designated the International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS) as the nodal agency for the survey. They also formed the Steering Committee, the Administrative and Financial Management Committee, and the Technical Advisory Committee for the smooth and efficient functioning of the project. Special thanks are due to Mr. A.R. Nanda, the present Secretary of the Department of Family Welfare, who continued to take an active interest in the project and provided timely guidance and support. The contributions of Mr. Vijay Singh, Joint Secretary (FA), Ms. Meenakshi Dutta Ghosh, Joint Secretary (S), Mr. Gautam Basu, Joint Secretary (RCH), Mr. P.K. Saha, Chief Director (S), and Dr. K.V. Rao, Chief Director (S), are acknowledged with gratitude.

We gratefully acknowledge the immense help received from the Office of the Registrar General, India, New Delhi (particularly Dr. M. Vijayanunni, the then Registrar General of India, Mr. J.K. Banthia, the present Registrar General of India, Mr. S.P. Sharma, Consultant, and Mr. S.K. Sinha, Deputy Registrar General, Vital Statistics) in implementing the sample design and making the latest SRS results available to cite in the reports. We thank all the expert participants in the series of workshops to finalize the questionnaire design, the sample design, and tabulation plans for the survey. Special mention and thanks are due to Dr. Vijay Verma for his expert advice on the sample design and the calculation of sample weights.

We are grateful to the Directorate of Census Operations, Directorate of Health Services, and Office of the Integrated Child Development Scheme, Maharashtra, for their support in conducting training of the trainers for the houselisting operation and training of the health investigators. We acknowledge the support of the All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, which extended its facilities for training of the health investigators.

We are thankful to the Department of Health and Family Welfare, Government of Maharashtra, for helping the field organization by providing logistic assistance, whenever possible. Special thanks go to the local officials in all of the sample areas for facilitating the data collection.

The United States Agency for International Development (USAID) provided generous funding for NFHS-2. USAID's contribution to the project is sincerely acknowledged. Special thanks are due to Mr. William Goldman, the former Director of the Office of Population, Health and Nutrition (PHN), USAID, New Delhi, Ms. Sheena Chhabra, Team Leader, Policy, Research, Evaluation, and Marketing (PHN), and Dr. Victor K. Barbiero, current Director of PHN, for their initiative and involvement in the project. Many thanks are due to UNICEF for providing additional funding for the nutrition component of the project and the most modern medical equipment for carrying out the height-weight measurements and anaemia testing. Special thanks

are due to Dr. Sanjiv Kumar, Project Officer (Health), UNICEF, New Delhi, for his earnest cooperation in this respect.

We gratefully acknowledge the help and cooperation given by Dr. Rameshwar Sharma, the then Director, and Dr. Shiv Chandra Mathur, Professor, State Institute of Health and Family Welfare (SIHFW), Jaipur, during the national pretest of the NFHS-2 questionnaires in Rajasthan.

Thanks are due to all the members of the Steering Committee, Administrative and Financial Management Committee, and Technical Advisory Committee for participating in various meetings and providing valuable guidance for the successful execution of the project.

Dr. K.B. Pathak was the Director of IIPS during the development of the project and throughout the first phase of data collection. His immense interest and great assistance to NFHS-2 are gratefully acknowledged. We also acknowledge the invaluable contribution and continuing interest of Dr. Arvind Pandey who helped coordinate the NFHS-2 project for most of the project period.

We appreciate and acknowledge the untiring efforts, interest, and initiative taken by Dr. Fred Arnold, Dr. Sunita Kishor, Mr. Sushil Kumar, and Mr. Zaheer Ahmad Khan from ORC Macro, and Dr. Robert D. Retherford and Dr. Vinod Mishra from the East-West Center. It is only due to their hard work that NFHS-2 could be completed successfully. Thanks go to Dr. Umesh Kapil, Additional Professor, Department of Human Nutrition, All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), New Delhi, for organizing, in collaboration with IIPS, the training programme for the health component of the survey, and to Dr. Almaz Sharman of ORC Macro for assisting with the training programme. In Mumbai, lead tests on young children were carried out in addition to anaemia tests. We would like to thank the All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, and the Industrial Toxicology Research Centre (ITRC), Lucknow, and especially Dr. Umesh Kapil of AIIMS and Dr. S.P. Srivastava of ITRC, for conducting a validation study on the LeadCare instrument. We also acknowledge the help of Dr. Rachel Kaufmann from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), USA, in designing the lead testing and assisting with the training of health investigators. We also thank CDC for making available some of the LeadCare analyzers for the validation study and the main fieldwork. We also thank the health coordinators, Dr. Vikash Chandra, Dr. P.V. Kaushik and Dr. Sanjeev P. Walokar, for their involvement in the NFHS-2 nutrition training programme and their sincere supervision of the nutrition component of the survey. We gratefully acknowledge Mr. O.P. Sharma for his able assistance in ensuring the timely printing and distribution of the survey reports.

ORC Macro made available the ISSA (Integrated System for Survey Analysis) computer package for data entry and tabulation. Special thanks go to Mr. Martin Wulfe of ORC Macro for his immense help in the data processing operation, data analysis, and preparation of the tables for NFHS-2 reports and to Dr. Rajib Acharya, ORC Macro, for his assistance at every stage of the data processing operation and report writing and his maintenance of the NFHS website. Special thanks go to Mr. Somnath W. Choughule, Data Entry Operator, for designing the NFHS website. We gratefully acknowledge the valuable contribution of IIPS Senior Research Officers Dr. Rajeshri Chitanand, Dr. Damodar Sahu, and Dr. Yonah Bhutia, and Research Officers Ms. Y.



Vaidehi and Dr. M. Hemanta Meitei. Special thanks are due to Ms. Sally Dai of the East-West Center for her assistance in the preparation of this report. Thanks are also due to the other supporting staff of the project, as well as the Administrative, Accounts, and Library staff of IIPS, for their continuous cooperation during the entire project period.

The difficult task of data collection and data processing in the state of Maharashtra was successfully carried out by the Centre for Operations Research and Training (CORT), Vadodara. Our special thanks are due to Dr. Sandhya Barge, Survey Director, and the two survey coordinators (Mr. Pravin Kumar Jha and Mr. Yashwant G. Deshpande) for successfully coordinating the fieldwork and data processing for the state. Special thanks go to Mr. Rajesh Nainakwal, IIPS Research Officer, NFHS-2, for assisting during the training of the field staff and monitoring the data collection in Maharashtra. This acknowledgement cannot be concluded without expressing appreciation for the hard work put in by the interviewers, health investigators, supervisors, and field editors in collecting data in Maharashtra.

Thanks are due to Dr. Sanjeevane Mulay, Population Research Centre, Gokhale Institute of Politics and Economics, Pune, Dr. Madhuree Talwalkar, State Family Welfare Bureau, Pune, Government of Maharashtra, and Dr. Sulabha Parasuraman, International Institute for Population Sciences, Mumbai, for their contribution to the report writing. We extend our sincere thanks to Prof. Tara Kanitkar, Ex-Professor, International Institute for Population Sciences, Mumbai and Consultant, Institute of Health Management, Pachod, for reviewing this report and giving her comments.

Last but not the least, credit goes to the 5,391 ever-married women of Maharashtra and the household respondents who spent their time and responded to the rather lengthy questionnaires with tremendous patience and without any expectation from NFHS-2.

T.K. Roy  
Sumati Kulkarni  
Kamla Gupta  
Parveen Nangia